IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

STATE EX REL. SKAGGS, et al.,

Relators-Plaintiffs,

Case No. C2:08CV-1077

Judge Algenon L. Marbley

٧.

JENNIFER BRUNNER, OHIO SECRETARY OF STATE, et al.,

Respondents-Defendants.

JOINT ANSWER OF THE NORTHEAST OHIO COALITION FOR THE HOMELESS AND THE OHIO DEMOCRATIC PARTY

The Northeast Ohio Coalition for the Homeless and the Ohio Democratic Party ("Proposed Intervenors") hereby move, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 24, to intervene in the abovecaptioned matter. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(c), this Motion states the grounds for intervention and is accompanied by pleadings that set out the claims for which intervention is sought. See Proposed Intervenors' Pleadings (attached hereto).

The Complaint herein was first filed in the Ohio Supreme Court on November 13, 2008. A Notice of Removal was filed on November 14, 2008 (See Doc. #2.), which was opposed by Relators-Plaintiffs (See Docs. 11, 12, Motion to Remand.) This Court held a hearing and denied the Motion to Remand on November 17, 2008. (See Doc. 20, Order on Motion to Remand.) Also on November 17, 2008, Relators-Plaintiffs withdrew their Motion for TRO filed on

November 14, 2008. (See Doc. 5.) Accordingly, none of the substantive claims raised by Relators-Plaintiffs have yet been addressed by the parties or determined by the Court.

The issues raised by the Relators-Plaintiffs herein flow from previous challenges raised in two other cases pending before this Court. A Complaint filed in October, 2006 by Proposed Intervenors Northeast Ohio Coalition for the Homeless ("NEOCH") and others in Case No. C02:06CV-896 challenged Ohio's provisional voter rules as unlawful. As a result of the Complaint in the NEOCH case, the Secretary of State issued two Directives pertaining to the counting of provisional ballots. On election day, the Ohio Republican Party ("ORP") filed a Complaint alleging that these Directives violate federal statutes as well as constitutional provisions. (See Ohio Republican Party v. Brunner, Case No. C02:08CV-913.) Now, the Complaint in the instant case pertains to the implementation of the Secretary's Directives. Absent the NEOCH case, the Directives at issue in this case and in the ORP case may never have been issued.

Proposed Intervenors are both parties in the NEOCH case. Indeed, the NEOCH Plaintiffs participated directly in reaching a series of agreements that became the basis for the Directives. Simply reviewing the prayers for relief in the three cases makes it clear that there are a number of common factual and legal questions in the three cases, particularly pertaining to provisional ballot issues. As this Court has observed, the claims in NEOCH and ORP are "inextricably related;" so too are the claims raised herein. Intervenors' interest in the promulgation and application of fair standards for the validation and counting of regular and provisional ballots are clear. The standards for intervention under Fed. R. Civ. P. 24 are therefore satisfied.

ARGUMENT

PROPOSED INTERVENOR IS ENTITLED TO INTERVENE AS OF RIGHT. Ĭ.

The purpose of Rule 24 is to involve "as many apparently concerned persons as is compatible with efficiency and due process." Coalition of Arizona/New Mexico Counties v. Department of the Interior, 100 F.3d 837, 841 (10th Cir. 1996). For this reason, the Sixth Circuit has explained that "Rule 24 should be broadly construed in favor of potential intervenors." Stupak-Thrall v. Glickman, 226 F.3d 467, 472 (6th Cir. 2000) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted); Midwest Realty Management Co. v. City of Beavercreek, 93 F. App'x 782, 784 (6th Cir. 2004); see also 6 James W. Moore et al., Moore's Federal Practice ¶ 24.03[1][a] (3d ed. 2004) ("Rule 24 is to be construed liberally . . . and doubts resolved in favor of the proposed intervenor."); FSLIC v. Falls Chase Special Taxing Dist., 983 F.2d 211, 216 (11th Cir. 1993) ("Any doubt concerning the propriety of allowing intervention should be resolved in favor of the proposed intervenors because it allows the court to resolve all related disputes in a single action.").

The rule, by its terms, provides that:

Upon timely application anyone shall be permitted to intervene in an action ... (2) when the applicant claims an interest relating to the property or transaction which is the subject of the action and the applicant is so situated that the disposition of the action may as a practical matter impair or impede the applicant's ability to protect that interest, unless the applicant's interest is adequately represented by existing parties.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(a)

In considering a motion to intervene, courts "accept as true all well-pleaded, nonconclusory allegations in the motion to intervene, [and] in the proposed complaint . . . in intervention." Moore's Federal Practice ¶ 24.03[1][a].

As the Sixth Circuit explained in Grutter v. Bollinger, 188 F.3d 394, 397-98 (6th Cir. 1999), intervenors are required to establish four elements in order to intervene as of right:

Document 33

- (1) that the motion to intervene was timely;
- (2) that they have a substantial legal interest in the subject matter of the case;
- (3) that their ability to protect that interest may be impaired in the absence of intervention; and
- (4) that the parties already before the court may not adequately represent their interest. See id.; see also Jansen v. City of Cincinnati, 904 F.2d 336, 340 (6th Cir. 1990).

A. Intervenor's Application Is Timely.

This motion to intervene is being filed less than 24 hours after the Court's decision to keep jurisdiction of this case. No proceedings have yet begun in response to the substantive issues raised in the Complaint, and no party will be prejudiced in any way by permitting the intervention. Counsel for Proposed Intervenors have been present at the proceedings that have occurred. Further, if granted intervention, Proposed Intervenors will adhere to the deadline set by the Court for the filing of motions for summary judgment. Accordingly, the timeliness element is clearly satisfied.

Intervenors Have a Cognizable Interest that May Be Impaired by the В. Disposition of This Action.

As the Sixth Circuit has held, Rule 24(a) incorporates a "'rather expansive notion of the interest sufficient to invoke intervention as of right." Grutter, 188 F.3d at 398 (quoting Michigan State AFL-CIO v. Miller, 103 F.3d 1240, 1245 (6th Cir. 1997)). Intervenors here more than satisfy that standard. Intervenors need not show that its interests actually will be impaired by the disposition of this adversary proceeding, but need only show that their interests "may be' so impaired." Kansas Pub. Employees Retirement Sys. v. Reimer & Koger Assocs., Inc., 60 F.3d 1304, 1308 (8th Cir. 1995); see also Commercial Cas. Ins. Co. v. Haeger (In re Haeger), 221

B.R. 548, 550 (Bankr. M.D. Fla. 1998) ("The 'interest test' has been characterized as 'primarily a practical guide to disposing of lawsuits by involving as many apparently concerned persons as is compatible with efficiency and due process.") (quoting Nuesse v. Camp, 385 F.2d 694, 700 (D.C. Cir. 1967)).

In Michigan State AFL-CIO v. Miller, 103 F.3d 1240 (6th Cir. 1997), for example, the Sixth Circuit held that the Michigan Chamber of Commerce, which supported in the legislative and political process the enactment of a law that extended to labor unions restrictions on corporate political expenditures, was entitled to intervene in a lawsuit involving a challenge to that law brought by labor unions. And in Grutter, the Sixth Circuit permitted minority students to intervene in a lawsuit to defend the University of Michigan's wholly voluntary decision to consider race as a factor in its admissions process. 188 F.3d at 399.

Intervenors' interest here is far more concrete and direct than the interests that supported intervention in Miller and Grutter. As discussed above, Proposed Intervenor NEOCH brought the lawsuit that was the basis for the Directives whose implementation is challenged herein. Certainly, NEOCH has a direct and concrete interest in any action relating to the enforcement of the Directives promulgated as a result of its lawsuit. As the representative organ of the Democratic Party in Ohio, Proposed Intervenor Ohio Democratic Party ("ODP") has an interest in protecting the legitimacy and integrity of the electoral process by seeking—in this litigation the enforcement of uniform and nondiscriminatory standards for validating and counting regular and provisional ballots. The Court has already recognized ODP's interest in granting the Party's Motion to Intervene in the NEOCH case. ODP is the political party of hundreds of thousands of self-identified Democratic voters who are voting in the November 4, 2008 General Election. The Party has invested hundreds of thousands of dollars in voter education and voter protection

efforts with respect to such election, both for its own members and the general voting public. ODP has an interest in ensuring that votes cast by its members for its candidates are fully counted by election authorities in accordance with all statutory and constitutional provisions.

C. Intervenor's Interests May Not Be Adequately Protected by the Existing

"The requirement of . . . Rule [24] is satisfied if the applicant shows that representation of his interest 'may be' inadequate; and the burden of making that showing should be treated as minimal." Trbovich v. United Mine Workers, 404 U.S. 528, 538 n.10 (1972) (citing 3B James W. Moore et al., Moore's Federal Practice ¶ 24.09--1(4) (1969)). This requirement is easily met.

Proposed Intervenor ODP, as a political organization dedicated to the election of Democratic candidates for office, plainly has separate interests not adequately represented by Relators-Plaintiffs who are not members of its organization. NEOCH is a nonprofit organization representing a segment of voters not otherwise represented. Similarly, Respondent-Defendant is the elected Ohio official responsible for the administration of the State's election laws. Proposed Intervenors, representing specific political and social interests, clearly have separate interests that are not adequately represented by the Secretary of State.

For these reasons, Intervenors more than meet the "minimal" burden of showing that representation of its interests by the existing parties to this adversary proceeding "may be" inadequate. See Trbovich, 404 U.S. at 538 n.10.

IN THE ALTERNATIVE, INTERVENORS SHOULD BE PERMITTED TO II. INTERVENE BASED ON COMMON QUESTIONS OF LAW AND FACT.

In addition, permissive intervention under Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(b) is also appropriate here. That rules provides that:

> Upon timely application anyone may be permitted to intervene in an action ... (2) when an applicant's claim or defense and the main action have a question of law or fact in common.... In

exercising its discretion the court shall consider whether the intervention will unduly delay or prejudice the adjudication of the rights of the original parties.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(b); see also New York News, Inc. v. Kheel, 972 F.2d 482, 487 (2d Cir. 1992). "Substantially the same factors [that are considered with respect to intervention of right] are considered in determining whether to grant an application for permissive intervention " Kaliski, at 300 n.5.

As is evident from the pleading attached to this Motion pursuant to Rule 24(c), Intervenors' defenses with respect to both questions of law and of fact, are substantially in common with the Secretary of State, making permissive intervention appropriate.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, proposed Intervenors NEOCH and ODP respectfully request that this Court enter an order granting their Motion to Intervene in this proceeding and directing that Intervenors' pleadings in intervention accordingly be filed.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Caroline Gentry

Caroline Gentry, Trial Counsel
PORTER WRIGHT MORRIS & ARTHUR
One Dayton Centre
One South Main Street
Dayton, OH 45402
Tel: (937) 449-6748
cgentry@porterwright.com

Counsel for Proposed Intervenor Northeast Ohio Coalition for the Homeless

s/ Donald J. McTigue

Donald J. McTigue (OH 0022849), Trial Counsel Mark A. McGinnis (OH 0076275) McTigue Law Group 550 East Walnut Street Columbus, OH 43215 Tel: (614) 263-7000

Fax: (614) 263-7078 mctiguelaw@rrohio.com

Counsel for Proposed Intervenor Ohio Democratic Party

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify a copy of the foregoing was served upon all counsel of record by means of the Court's electronic filing system on this 17th day of November, 2008.

/s Mark A. McGinnis Mark A. McGinnis (OH 0076275) Attorney at Law

PROVISIONAL BALLOT APPLICATION • FRANKLIN COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS MHOEVER COMMITS ELECTION FALSIFICATION IS GUILTY OF A FELONY OF THE FIFTH DEGREE.

EXHIBIT

₹

Each blank must be completed by the Voter **VOTER INFORMATION**

solemnty awear or affarm that I am a registered voter in the precinct in which fear working this provisional bathle and thiel I am edgible to vote in this election, for which I am exacting this provisional bathle the castering this provisional bathle the castering this provisional bathle the castering this provisional bathle because my name should appear on the official precinct list, but does not, my name should appear on the official precinct list, but does not, my activase has changed, my name has changed. I requested an absente ballet andrer i am faited in the official predict fee as handle predicted and my more motion reason. I declare under renaming to 6 section fastification I am a chitica not like United States, with have freed in this state for 30 days. United States, will have fived in this cities for 30 deparamentalety preceding the cent election, and I will be at least 18 years of eggs at the time of the general election. (CLEARLY PRINT NAME. REQUIRED) schennly swear or aftern that I am a registered voice

CURRENT ADDRESS

ZIP CODE: FORMER ADDRESS È

APT

STREET CH

FORMER NAME (If applicable)

COUNTY:

WIM/DO/WW/ DATE OF BIRTH REQUIRED

If the board of elections determines that I have already voted in this election, this provisional beside will not be counted; further worderstand that knowingty providing these information is a Yokalion of law and subjects me to possible criminal prosecution. I understand that if the teformetical i provide on this provisional balled specification is not fully complete and careed, another if the based of elections deletratines that I am not repisioned by vote, a maident of this product, or eligible to vote in this product, or eligible to vote in this product, or eligible to vote in this election, ending I hereby declare, under perselly of election fatsification, that the above statements are true and correct to the best of my

VOTER'S SIGNATURE (REQUIRED) Date

VOTER IDENTIFICATION

POLL WORKER STATEMENT

To be completed by a Poll Worker

You must provide one of the following To be completed by the Voter,

forms of identification documentation

was subscribed and affirmed before me. The The preceding Provisional Ballot Application following is true concerning the reason

necessary to issue a provisional ballot

NOTE: If you are unable to provide proof of identity or if your right to vote was challenged and you were unable to provide the necessary documentation to satisfy the challenge, you may provide proof of identity at the Board of Elections within 10 days after the election.

Voter's name is not in the Signature Poll Book

Voter has a LEGAL NAME CHANGE

Voter has an ADDRESS CHANGE

my Social õ ☐ The last four digits Security Number are:

Number ☐ My Ohio Driver's License Number OR State Identification Card Number is:

Number '3' is to the left of the signature box (undeliverable registration acknowledgement

Number 4" is to the left of the signature box

(voler requested an ABSENTEE BALLOT)

signature box. Check here and also check

- ATTORNEY IN FACT' is printed in

the specific reason for a provisional ballot

The Voter is unable to provide velid

dentification

The Voter refuses to provide valid

dentification

Number '2' is to the left of the signature box

(undeliverable 60-day election notica)

identification displaying name and current address: pholo ď Other form (Specify kind of ID)

government check, paycheck, or other government document that shows my name and current address) Other (copy of current villity bill, bank statement. (appenity kind of ID)

(complete Identification Affirmation below if applicable) and I have NO Social Security Number No identification documentation

ONLY FOR VOTERS WHO CHECKED IND ID" ABOVE RC 3595.18(A)(4) IDENTIFICATION AFFIRMATION

denlification does NOT match the Signature

Poli Book

Name or address on the Valid Non Photo

Voter's name does not match the Signature

Poll Book (Signature Poll Book has current legal name but ID does NOT have current legal name)

provide a chreat and valid photo stanlification, a natilization, or all copy of a current utility big, bath defendant of provided, or other gatelineari, document showing my name and current eddress, nor the test four digits of my social security number focusion or then social security number and current decision test is not the person named on the application and test is understand I may cost a provisional batter. declars under penalty of election feleffication that I cannot (CLEARLY PRINT NAME)

Delte VOTER'S SIGNATURE

×

Affer completing this application in its entirety.

POLL WORKER: Using the Precincl Street Listing, find the provisional voter's current address and write the ballot style number for the voter's address here:

Ballot Style Number

provisional ballot pad(s) with the same ballot style POLL WORKER: Locale the number that you wrote above.

€Ą

and direct the provisional voter to a provisional voting booth (UNLESS THE VOTER IS DISABLED AND VOTING ON Ballot Application envelope, and the Give the provisional THE MACHINE) to mark the PAPER ballot. provisional ballot POLL WORKER Provisional voter the =

VOTER: Carefully read all of the instructions. Once you have completed voting your provisional ballot, fold it in half and place it inside this envelope. Seal the envelope and deposit the envelope in the VOTER: Carefully read all of sealed ballot box. ~વ*

THIS SPACE FOR CHEICE USE ONLY

POLL WORKER'S SIGNATURE

><

SPL, or MPL (and Precinct): (Check Location Street Guide for Information)

	Ā	ovisional	Provisional Application Worksheet	on Works	heet	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY
Level	ID & Date	ID & Date	(for effect case control)	ID & Date	ID & Date	
1 Inlial Review	<u> </u>		1		-	
2 Verification	7	2	2		12	
3 Voter Query Look-up	•	3	3	6	3	
4 SQL Look-up (restricted use)	*	*	4	+	į	
5 Bad Signature Review	иs	2	5	es.	s	
6 Rejection Review	5	0	#	5	8	
7 Check Old DWP for Voling	\$ 1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	<u></u>	<u> </u>	£	7	
8 Out of County Verification	8	8	9	3	2	
9 Legal Review	8	¢.	<i>CS</i> 7	ō.	ä	
10 Accepted		61	10	on l	ti	
11 Rejected	11	11	u	113	и	·
Reason for Rejection/Acceptance:						gantific Milliannine and
			***************************************			- AND THE PROPERTY AND
V TON OO	WRITE BELOW	THIS LINE: F	OT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE: FOR FINAL REVIEW ONLY	EW ONLY	The Charles	mg i
12 Approved: Y or N 13 Rejected: Y or N	Code: 001 Code: 006 200	250 300 450 500	500 600 800	920		
Signatures of Final Reviewers:		-				Date;
						Date:
Continents:						
APPL APPLICATION	K30	OCH. OHIO DRIVERS LICENCE	rok edi.		001 APPROVED	S beer to called Address of Employed Library (1947), medical activation (Angles (Angle
APR APPROVED	8	GS ON BYSTEM			AND FORM INCOMPLETE	
DOB DATE OF BINTH	24	PA PROVISIONAL APPLICATION	ON		200 NOT REGISTERED	
DNF DISTRICTMARDIPRECINCT	3 4	PW PROVISIONAL WORKSHEET	EL .		250 NECISTERED AFTER CUTOFF	OFF
FIF FOUND IN FRAHKLIN CO	72	RAC REGISTERED AFTER CLITCH	和		308 NO STORM TURE	٠
INC FORM INCOMPLETE	RRS	SPB SIGNATURE FOLL BOOK			450 YOTED ABSURTEE	
NE PRECINCT SPLIT NOT ELIGHE	255 255	SSH SOCIAL SECURITY HUMBER	題		SRO SIGNATURE DOEN'T NATCH	CH
NR ROT REGISTERED	-	U UNDERAGE			500 voted by Wrong Precint	MT
HRE: NOT RECEITERED IN OTHER COUNTY	Đ.	YOC YOTED IN OLD COUNTY			DOS UNIDERADE	
NS NO SKSATURE	W	WHP YOTED IN WROAG PRECINCT	NCT		USP PRECINCT SPLIT NOT ELICIBLE	KSBLE
	* COUNT « BALLOT ACCEPTED	TED				
	ND COUNT = SALLOT REJECTED	RECTED				

IDENTIFICATION DOCUMENT PROVISIONAL BALLOT AFFIRMATION

R.C. 3503.16, 3505.181, 3501.182

Ĭ,	, solemnly swear or affirm that I am a			
Printed name of voter				
registered voter in the precinct in which I am voting this provisional ballot.	rovisional ballot and that I am eligible to vote in the election in			
Current Address	Former Address (if applicable)			
Street Address	Street Address			
City/Zip	City/Zip			
County	County			
Mailing Address if other than above	If name change, please complete line below:			
Birthdate:	Former Name			
Reason for voting provisional ballot:	Form of identification provided:			
 ☐ My name should appear on the official precinct list, but does not ☐ Change of address ☐ Change of name ☐ Requested, but did not receive absent voter's ballot ☐ Other	 □ Ohio drivers license (provide #):			
not registered to vote, a resident of this precinct, or eligible to valready voted in this election, my provisional ballot will not be information is a violation of law and subjects me to possible cri	minal prosecution. I hereby declare, under penalty of election e best of my knowledge and belief. I further declare, if the election is a			
X				
Signature of Voter	Date			
X				
Signature of Witnessing Election Official	Date			

WHOEVER COMMITS ELECTION FALSIFICATION IS GUILTY OF A FELONY OF THE FIFTH DEGREE.

ELECTION OFFICIAL VERIFICATION STATEMENT

The	Pro	visional Ballot Affin	mation of			_ was subscribed and
				Print Vote	т's Name	
affi	rmed	before me this	day of		.,·	
				Month	Year	
	~ ~	cable, the election of the color of the call information needs			***	-
		The provisional vol	ter is required	to provide addit	ional information	to the board of
		An application or c the election	hallenge hear	ing regarding thi	s voter has been p	ostponed until after
		ction official must c d by the provisions			ment concerning	identification
			identification ess instead of	n other than a dri current address		ate ID card, with the
	D		ion, copy of c	urrent utility bill		government check, or
		Last four digits of	social security	number		•
	0	Unable to provide a Voter must provide after the election.				
·	0	Unable to provide	the last four	digits of the vote	r's social security	number. Voter must
		Does not have any Unable to Provide			ted Form 10-T Af	firmation of Voter
		Does not have any (Form 10-T).			d to execute an af	firmation
	, D	Voter declined to p Voter must provide after the election.				
•			•			
Nai	ne o	f Precinct			• "	•
X.					**	
		Signature o	of Election Of	fficial		